G. R. S IX—5]

Previous references

mc 30 2085 33, 34, 41, 45, 64, 67 & 84

mc 21 -22 85 24, 25, 38 & 43

Later references

Mdl 32-27 Ab 4 23 30

" 13-29 (2, 3, 5, 9 10, 13, 16, 18 & 38, 40 & 45)

1923

File No 21 25

Serial Nos. 54, 56 & 67

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos

Part B for January

SUBJECT

Petition from Mudakana Nadu Inquilabs to issue order to the munsif of Chitaldrug to stop the mandalam work for etc

67 To, The D-C Chitaldrug
Mdl 9462 d 2-6 24

I enclose that the Sale of the Sale near the fort wall may be Cancelled and no Sales should be given away for building purposes

17-24

G R. S III—3]

465.

Gen 1917

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions etc, not intended for permanent record]

L. 1690

Mr. 8 4-2-24 from car [illegible] Madhabrao Naik, Dallyapur, C Prov — Complains that fortwalls in the Chilaldong Dn, which is to be preserved as an ancient monument of his great ancestors, are being dismantled & disfigured & the debris & requests that earthworks may be issued & stop the work & interference with them —

7 M

1) Subject for orders as to the preservation of the fortwalls.

24/2/24. 2) Mr Preston may be requested to give us his views.

Mr Sherratt 25/2

23/ 3) Yes 24/2/24

4) Under the former practice, received last year, from the Madabrao Naik he had requested for the preservation of the old fort at Chilaldong, & a report thereon

H

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, notes must be copied or slips pasted on form (Gen 18 & 25)

G R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

---

for instructions. Call for
Comr. Chitaldrug taken up with
matter, the latter was requested
to strictly follow the instructions
contained in letter No. L 48 /
Dtd 30-10-84 & 23-7-21. Further
endorsement was also issued
to the Madakeri Municipal Council to be done in the manner
as per his present petition,
the Madakeri Municipal Council has
complained against the demolition
of the ancient fort-wall at
Chitaldrug by the Municipality
which appears to have arisen
connection to para 2 of the
Dy. Comr's report dtd. to above
of approved. The petitioner
is to be informed to the effect,
Chitaldrug for report Dtd. 26/1/24
for orders. It has already
been held that the property vests

A. R.- Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

in the Municipal Council under Sec. 75-21
the resumption order is not
called in. It is therefore to consider whether
if any action need be taken now by Govt.

G. R. S. III-2]

4917 Gen 4890 3

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No 21 of 1923.

Serial No

1) I do not think any action is required to be taken on the Petition except to supply a copy to the D.C for draft of each deeds issued to the Petr

Chief Clerk) 27/2

2) We were that the fort wall & ditch are vested in the Municipal Council & should as at likes, is I think open to doubt. The action complained of is that of the Mun Co & this matter might be dealt with in the L & S Br

5 28/2

3) Please transfer the file to the L & S branch 28/2

4) The Supdt, L & S Br, with the compts

28/2

5) Subd for orders. Attention is invited to notes at pages 6-8 in Col Mul 1920

1/3/24 HHK

6) Please put up a proper

NOTE —Contn sheet

1870

R. S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No. 21 of 1923

Serial No

Translation of the petition & a short precis only of the case.

8/23

V

1) A translation of the petn is put up.

12) The facts briefly of the case are as follows: —

On a previous petn dt 17 11 1922 from the same petr asking the preservation of the old building from fort walls, fort passed orders as hereunder in Rev Secy's letter no L 482/mil 30—20—Sec dt 23-7-1921 —

K

i) that the fort walls were not in the way & ii) that the fort walls should be demolished in accordance with a definite programme of improvement & prevent slips, & being removed in a safe-hazard manner

The plan of wt & the estd thereto.

iii) The Presdt of the mun Cl was therefore directed & prepare a plan in consultation with the Land Engr & submit the same for the approval of Govt;

& iv) Hand & see that no building sites were allotted & none permitted & remove stone & earth from the fort walls, till then.

13) Again on another representation dt 15/12/22

NOTE—Comm Pret

WBS

5

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Ml File No 21 of 1923

Serial No.

I

from the petr. in the same subject-
matter have again issued orders to the District
the Chief Secy. Letter no Cr 4628 dt. 14-
18-12-23 & 13-12-23 to the effect
that instructions contained in the previous
order of 23-7-21 should be strictly
followed and an endt to the petr also
was issued, to which reference has also been
made by him in the present petn.

14) The petr now complains that not
withstanding the above order issued to D.C.
the petr subsequently noticed some
people tampering with fortelons, &c.
and even the Revy Commissr also,

19/3/24

15) The petitioner may
perhaps be forwarded to
the Addl Dr. for favor of a report
on the further complaints now
made in the request that the
information may be furnished
as to action taken on points
nos (11) & (14) referred to in the
note above (last para) & the plans
called for & referred to in (11)

NOTE —Contn sheet.

G. 3 S. III-4,

General and Revenue Secretariat

All File No. 21 of 1923

Serial No

Noted on the last page may be arranged for sent Government for the approval of Govt

L. Chetty 29/3

16 Yes

17. Dept please

27/3

1/4

Govt Counsel

1/4/24

1/4

54 Book of 7/4/24 To be scrutinized Forwards the Petition and calls for a report in the matter.

Secy 11/4

56 From A.C. Chidambaram no C 193 and 23-4-24 dated 12.4.24 submits report & requests that action already taken by the Board of Examiners in the matter may be approved by Govt.

20 and 21 may refer to paras 15 & 16 above.

21/4/24.

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 21 of 1923.

Serial No	
67	No 4946 of 2/6/24 to Dr Ishaldas Sheets sent wise Bales Shroffs be cancelled and sent no bale shroffs be given away as a building site 30) No such is the petr appears to be Called for The file will be recorded HMK 5/6/24 L L They)

12/6/24

vio 6/6

L. 3690 10

Name & address of the Petr } Mr B. D. Madulara
Mantik, Palagatar
Chittaldrug

Dy of Petn 4-2-24

Receipt of Petn 5-2-24

(addressed to the Dewan)

Subject:

Relevant:-

1) Following fort of India the Mysore fort - also preserves an ancient, Historical buildings of interest. The chitaldrug fort - built by his ancestors is such a building.

2) As the petr had already applied to Govt on 15-2-22 for the grant of a Copy of the G.O permitting the milk & dis mantle the ancient-picturesque building of the Chitaldrug fort - he received Endt no G 9628 dy 13/23 stating that necessary instructions have been issued to D.C in the matter. G.M. 18-22-25

3) He also brought to the notice of D.C Chitaldrug on 31-1-24 that when ~~he went the deputies~~ the Coolies were in the act- ~~of breaking the fort with~~ of demoli- ~~ing~~ the stone of the walls of the fort, he took

the Vice President of the road
Cd to show it and the
vice President simply scared
them away after seizing
their crow bars, & Mul-
-tuk place thereafter he
does not know

4) ~~to call to be~~ Mukhtyarul to be
~~prevented~~ the feelings of ~~his~~ his brother the poor
his ancestors he, can be
very well imagines if they
had actually witnessed the presen-
-ruthless, wanton destruction ~~&~~ ~~&~~
~~&~~ ~~&~~ that is being
done now - As he belongs
to the said family he
prays that orders may be forthwith
issued by the Hy Court
to prohibit the said ~~&~~ from their further
interference with ~~&~~ &
in any way -

MP.
19/5/24

G R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 4690

General and Revenue Secretariat

(AP)

, the *192*

Date of issue 7-4-94

Signed by

Section AC

File No 21-23

Serial No 54

No 1806

Executive

To The D.C. Chittoor

Sir,

I am forwarding herewith for request the petition Registered as No. 4690 dt 6.2.94 received at the General & Rev. Secretariat from Mr. B.S. Mahalakshmi Bank, Palayagar Chittoor making a further complaint regarding the demolition of the Chittoor town portwall. I am directed to draw your attention to Rev Secy's letter No. of 482/Ind/A2/20-2-84 dt 28/2/84 on the above subject stating (i) that portwall should be demolished in accordance with the definite instructions of Government, (ii) that a plan therefor should be prepared in consultation with the Town Committee for approval of Govt. & (iii) that the Municipality should be allotted a portion

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Mk 21-23-54

5818

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

To The Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative departments, Bangalore

Dated
Despatched 12th April 1924
Received
General No 6193 M1 23-24
No
Enclosure No 3

Sir,

With reference to your letter No L 8006/M1 21-23-54 dated 7th April 1924 forwarding the petition from Mr. B D Medikere Naik, Pallegar, Chitaldrug regarding the demolition of the Chitaldrug town fort walls and calling for certain information on the several instructions issued in the Revenue Secretary's letter No L 482/M1 30-20-84 dated 23rd July 1921 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No 565 dated 12th April 1924 with its enclosure received from the President, Town municipal council, Chitaldrug in the matter

Under the circumstances stated by the President in his letter referred to above the action already taken by the municipal council regarding the disposal of certain sites on the fort wall may be approved by Government

I have the honor to be
S R,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

1/6

From The President,
Chitaldrug Town municipality,
C H I T A L D R U G.

To The Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

Dated
Despatched 12th April 1924.
Received

General No 565
No

Enclosure No 2 one plan and one petn

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.C.193 M1.23-24 dated 9-4-1924 forwarding for report a copy of letter no.L.8006/M1. 21-23-54 dated 7-4-1924 from the Secretary to Government, Local & Legislative departments on the petition of Mr.B.D.Heddiler Naik re the demolition of the fort walls in the Chitaldrug town, I have the honor to state as follows -

The question of improving the insanitary condition of the trenches around the Chitaldrug town has been engaging the attention of the municipal council from a long time and when the subject was brought before the Council in their meeting held on31-5-1918 it was resolved that the fort wall running to the east of the santhebagal should be allotted for building sites.

The filling up of the insanitary trenches by the side of the fort wall around the town which involved a heavy expenditure to the municipality was one of the representations made by the municipal

council in their address to the Dewan Sahib during his visit to the Chitaldrug town in August 1922. On this representation a detailed scheme was called for by Government with information as to the portion of cost that the municipal council are willing to bear from their funds (vide Secretary's letter No.L.3275/A11,21-22-25 dated 28-10-1922). The municipal council have agreed to bear half the cost of the scheme (vide letter No. 526 dated 12-3-1923) for which detailed estimate and plan have since been called for by Government.

3. As the municipal council had to find funds to meet their portion of the cost of the scheme, the matter having been discussed in their meeting held on 2nd January 1923, it was resolved (1) with a view to avoid heavy expenditure on this account by the municipality, that the sites on the fort wall should be allotted for building purposes in a line towards the east of santhebagal with a definite programme of improvements and the same be disposed of to the applicants on condition that the trenches behind the sites should be filled up by the grantees at their own cost and accordingly the sites were given over to 15 applicants on the condition set forth above When making the above resolutions the municipal council do not seem to have been aware of the instructions contained in letter No.L.482/A11.30-20-84 dated 23-7-1921 from the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department in disposing of the said sites as apparently the previous reference was not brought to their notice at the time. A plan showing the sites thus disposed of is enclosed herein. It will be seen that the sites have been granted in a line and arrangements are made to see that stones are not removed from the fort wall in a hap-hazard manner and it may be said that nothing has been done by the municipal council against the spirit of the letter from the Secretary to Government referred to in the above para.

Under the circumstances stated above, I request that you will be so good as to move Government to accord their approval for the action taken by the municipal council.

As regards the disposal of sites on the fort walls on the remaining portion of the town a plan is under preparation in the office of the Executive Engineer, Chitaldrug Division and the same will be submitted to Government for approval through the Sanitary Commissioner

The petition received with your memo under reply is returned herewith.

In conclusion, I also invite reference to my letter No.109 dated 21st

August 1923 stating that the picturesque buildings as well as the ancient structures worth preservation have not been meddled with in any way in working out the above scheme.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) R. Nanaswamy Rao,

President, Town Municipal Council

C H I T A L D R U G.

" C O P Y."

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

Smiley

G R S VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 2nd June 24

Signed by

Section rnl
File No 21-23
Serial No 67

No. C 9962/rnl

To

The Hy Commrs, Chitaldrug

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C 193/rnl 23 24 dated the 15th April 1924, requesting the approval of the action taken by the Chitaldrug municipal Council regarding the disposal of certain sites on the fort wall, I am directed to state that as the sites are small & there is no guarantee that the persons to whom sites have been granted will fill up the portion of the ditch behind their sites, under the rule of the

Pg over

the Sites thereof be Cancelled and no site should be given away as a building site until a plan of Town improvement is prepared as directed in this office No L 482 /mc 30-20-84 dated the 23rd July 1921

Plan and Memorandum for plots approved by the Council Cabinet by this office letters No L 7332/21-22 & the 15th March 1922 and L 8275/21-22-1 of 28th October 1922 may also be seen

29/5

For Secy to Govt

Town -7-5-24

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by